

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/665,336

Applicant(s)

SCHNEIDER ET AL.

Examiner

Leslie A. Royds

Art Unit

1614

All Participants:(1) Leslie A. Royds.(2) Melissa Acosta.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 May 2006**Time:** PM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.


Claims discussed:

None.

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Applicant's representative confirmed that a response to the Office Action of 04 November 2005 has not been filed because the Inventors do not wish to pursue prosecution of the present application.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)